

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 26 MAY 1971R
REVISED 23 OCTOBER 1983

Remimeo
All Orgs
Basic Cse
Super Hat
D of P Hat
C/S Hats
Tech Sec
Qual Sec
Dn Cse
Ch/sheet
All Course
Supervisor
Hats
Pro TR
Course
Checksheets
All AOs, FSO

(This bulletin has been revised:
a) to delete "basic courses" from
the first line, as basic Div 6 TR
courses are done on a milder gradient
than TRs The Hard Way, which is used to
make auditors, per HCOB 24 Dec 79, TRS
BASICS RESURRECTED; b) to add a fifth
rule regarding persons in progress on
OT III or Audited NOTs or Solo NOTs;
c) to point up, on Page 2 that while
a TR Course is a major program in
itself and if run right does produce
case gain, it is not ordinarily a case
action as such; and d) to include data
on the conditions under which persons
on auditing programs can train or get
needed correction.)

(Revisions in script)
(Ellipsis indicates deletion)

C/S Series 38R

TRS COURSE AND AUDITING

MIXING MAJOR ACTIONS

Ref: HCOB 28 Sep 82 C/S Series 115
MIXING RUNDOWNS AND REPAIRS

With the use of TRs The Hard Way on ... auditors and
students, a rule must be laid down:

A PERSON ON A TR COURSE OR IN PROG-
RESS ON A TR CYCLE MAY NOT ALSO BE
AUDITED.

And a second rule:

HGC ADMIN AND THE D OF P MUST BE
INFORMED OF ENROLLMENTS ON TR
COURSES OR TRS IN CRAMMING AND
MUST SO MARK A PC'S FOLDER WITH
DATE.

And a third rule:

IN AN ADVANCED ORG THE ADV CSE
ADMIN MUST ALSO BE INFORMED OF
STUDENTS ENROLLING ON A TR COURSE.

And a fourth rule:

A SIGN MUST BE PLACED IN QUAL AND
IN A TR CLASSROOM "WHILE WORKING
ON TRS AND UNTIL THEY ARE PASSED,
DO NOT ACCEPT AUDITING." IN AN
AO OR SH THIS READS "WHILE WORKING
ON TRS AND UNTIL THEY ARE PASSED,
DO NOT ACCEPT AUDITING OR DO SOLO."

And a fifth rule:

PERSONS IN PROGRESS ON OT III, NEW
OT V (AUDITED NOTs) OR NEW OT VII
(SOLO NOTs) MAY NOT DO TR COURSES.

The reason for these rules lies in the major C/S rules:

DO NOT BEGIN NEW PROGRAMS TO END
OLD.

DO NOT START A NEW ACTION BEFORE
COMPLETING THE EXISTING ONE.

And the auditor rule:

OBTAIN AN F/N BEFORE STARTING THE
NEXT C/S ACTION. IF UNABLE TO DO SO,
NEVER BEGIN THE NEXT C/S ACTION BUT
END SESSION AND RETURN THE FOLDER
TO THE C/S.

The surest way in the world to bog a case is to:

1. Begin a new process without obtaining an F/N on the one just run.
2. Begin a major action without completing the old one.
3. Begin a major action without setting up a case with ruds and F/Ns.
4. Begin a new program without completing the old one.
5. Start several programs without finishing any.
6. Enter a new major action into a case already in progress on another incomplete major action.

I have seen a case on as many as five major actions with none complete. And when I see this the first thing I take up is the first unflat incomplete program and get it finished, then the next, then the next. The case comes out all smooth.

Example: Case is on but not complete on Dianetic auditing. Switched to grades. Incomplete on grades, gets a Prog Pgm. Incomplete on a Prog Pgm, shifted to Power.

The only apparent exception is a repair. A case can be repaired if bogged PROVIDING THE ORIGINAL ACTION IS REHABBED IF O/R OR COMPLETED TO EP.

A Progress Pgm may reach EP before the written up program is completed.

Thus a Process Completion is defined as the END PHENOMENA of the process. A Program is complete when the END PHENOMENA of the Program is attained.

TRs

Any course or program containing TRs 0—4, 6—9 or Admin TRs, while not ordinarily a case action, is a major program in itself. It produces case gain—if run right—and has an End Phenomenon.

Further, by actual experience when a person is on a real (not a patty-cake and weak) TR Course and is also being audited at the same time, the C/S and Auditor if they don't know the person is also on TRs can be utterly baffled and worried as the

case does not run right. "What did I do?" "What C/S was wrong?" "Look, his TA is high." "Now it's low." "Last session he _____." And the C/S and auditor engage in efforts to handle the odd case behavior. But the person, unknown to them, was also on a real TR Course and his case was changing! He was realizing vast personal changes and gain as his ability to confront and handle communication was improving!

TRAINING AND CORRECTION

None of this means that a person in progress on an auditing program may not also train. It does mean that he would not do the TR section of a course or program while also in progress on auditing.

And it most definitely means that he would not do a full TR Course concurrently with an auditing program.

In a case where a pc is found to be hung up on Solo Grades due to a partially completed earlier TR Course, the handling is given in HCOB 23 Dec 71R, C/S Series 73R, THE NO-INTERFERENCE AREA, CLARIFIED AND RE-ENFORCED.

Should cramming on TRs be required on HGC auditors or others who are themselves on an auditing program, per HCOB 29 Sep 82, C/S Series 115, MIXING RUNDOWNS & REPAIRS, it is mandatory to first obtain C/S okay. The safe rules for giving a C/S okay are exactly as written in C/S Series 115.

INTERJECTED PGMS

You can also run into this same oddity of unusual-case behavior with a mystic who does "bathe the body in light" every night or a wife whose husband audits her between HGC sessions or a self-auditor.

The principle is the same. The C/S and auditor are going down Wellbeing Street and hidden trucks keep dashing out of alleys and running into the pc.

LIFE

The reason auditing should be done in intensive packages, not 1 hour a week or a session a month lies in the fact that LIFE can run a new action in on a pc.

It's a great way to waste auditing to let a pc have a session once a week. You can't even keep his ruds in if he lives in any confusions.

So nothing is done for the case, all the auditing goes to handle the life interjections!

CROSS PROGRAMMING

A case runs on cycles of actions. This is true in the auditing comm cycle. It is true in a process cycle. It is true in a program cycle.

New things being crossed into old incomplete things make a sort of ARC Break situation like a cut comm cycle.

One could do everything with a process or a program OR A COURSE that you find on an L1C. It would not be very wise.

No case gain can be created by lack of a comm cycle in an auditor, lack of an action cycle in processes or messing up a program cycle.

If you don't believe it, run an L1C on a pc with "Processes" and "Programs" and "Courses" as a prefix. You'd be amazed.

Further the fellow who doesn't reach the EP of a Course is likely never to use that material or be faulty with the subject.


Usual study courses like admin or tech give case gain. One can carry on with auditing parallel to them. But still expect a case to change a bit by study and baffle a C/S once in a while.

But a real TR Course produces changes up and down and up that are not possible to also audit around. So they don't mix.


VISUAL IDEA

To get a visual idea of this:

Optimum:



Ghastly:



Where's the End?

Why, here, of course:



Got it?

L. RON HUBBARD
FOUNDER